Scientific Journal

Evaluation Sheet

Check your work according to the following list	Yes	No	Remarks
Authors' Data:			
Are the authors' data complete?			
Are the authors' photographs included?			
Are the e-mails of each of the authors included?			
Are institutional or grant data included?			
Are the authors' Orcid codes included?			
Is the information from the completed studies included?			
Formal aspects of the document			
Does the work maintain coherence, congruence, and consistency between: Title, abstract, objective, keywords, theoretical foundation, materials and methods, results, discussion, conclusions and reference?			
Have discriminatory or disrespectful expressions been eliminated?			
Does the general style of the work maintain a scientific language?			
Is the explanation and argumentation clear and solid?			
Has a style, language and syntax correction been made?			
Are the figures, charts, graphs and images easy to understand?			
Is the general contribution of the work relevant to its scientific field?			
Are the regulations correctly applied in terms of: Margins, font type and size, spacing? See section: **Document structure**			
Is the document up to 15 pages long?			
Title			
Does the work match the topics published by NEGOTIUM?			
Is the title of the work related to the objective?			
Is the title of the work in accordance with the content discussed?			
Is the abstract accurate?			

Is the title adapted to APA standards, up to 12 words?			

Check your work according to the following list	Yes	No	Remarks
Abstract			
Is the relationship between: title, objective, theoretical contributions, materials and methods, results and conclusions described coherently?			
Are the keywords related to the title and objective?			
Is the English translation of the abstract and keywords correct?			
Introduction			
Is the problem under study clear?			
Is the research contextualized?			
Is the general importance of the research described?			
Is the research question formulated?			
Does conceptual theoretical analysis help in understanding the problem?			
Theoretical Foundations			
Are the theoretical aspects of the research defined in a concrete manner?			
Do you construct your own concepts for research purposes?			
Is consistency established between: title, objective and concepts?			
Materials and Methods			
Is the type and design of applied research indicated? Are they supported by authors?			
Is it specified: population, sample, and sampling methods applied? Are they supported by authors?			
Is the data collection technique and instrument mentioned? Are they supported by authors?			
Is the method of analysis of the data obtained explained? Is it supported by authors?			
Is a scale indicated for the interpretation of the data obtained?			
Results			
Are the results offered supported by conceptual theoretical analysis and methodological procedures?			
Do the results reported correspond to the instrument applied?			
Is an analysis or interpretation of the results provided?			

Check your work according to the following list	Yes	No	Remarks
Discussion			
Do the results answer the research question?			
Are any inconsistencies, implications or major consequences of the work described?			
Conclusions			
Are the conclusions contributory?			
Are there institutional or social contributions derived from the work?			
Do the conclusions maintain internal coherence between title, objective, materials and methods, results and discussion?			
References			
Are the references relevant to the paper?			
Are the references used from up-to-date scientific journals?			
The presentation of the paper is in accordance with NEGOTIUM?			
Do the APA standards apply to the development of the reference list?			